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Respect for People: Making the Job Easier for Workers

How a lean mindset and practices are reining in workplace disruptions, minimizing workers’ physical aches and pains, and improving flow at Turner Construction.

Turner Construction Company is the largest general builder in the United States with over 10,000 direct employees and an annual construction volume of $15 billion. Turner has regional offices across the country and internationally.

The Lean Enterprise Institute has been working with Turner since 2017 to help the firm more deeply embed lean practices and a lean mindset within the organization. As part of this Co-Learning Partnership, last year LEI helped facilitate several weekly job site coaching engagements that were only minimally disrupted because of the pandemic. On one of these, LEI lean coach and Toyota veteran Bryant Sanders worked at a job site in Dallas, where several trade partners were installing exterior panels on a building.

“The process did not follow what we would call continuous flow,” Sanders recalls. The contractor that fabricated the panels prepared them for installation—drilled holes, attached extrusion strips to the edges, and removed some protective film. Then the workers passed the panels to another team on a mast climber [motorized scaffold] to attach a z-shaped channel to the building and installed the panels. In between, because of how the construction contracts had been awarded, another contractor was responsible for the insulation.

“That just added lead time,” says Sanders. “They would attach the channel and ride down the scaffolding; wait for the other trade partner to go up, install the insulation and come down; and then go back up and install the panels.”

Communication between the teams was inconsistent as well, adds Sanders. For example, the prep team wasn’t always preparing what the install team needed next. Generally, people had questions about why they needed to spend time improving the process when they were already meeting their targets.

“They were, in fact, meeting their daily quota the way they were doing the work,” explains Bob Grimes, Turner vice president and director of lean, adding that construction teams often don’t recognize how unplanned disruptions affect overall productivity until the deadline nears. But

By the end of the week the teams had improved productivity by more than 50%. “One day, they installed 21 panels, which far exceeded anything they had done in the past.”

Bob Grimes
then, the industry’s traditional reaction to these events is to add more people and extend work hours to close the gap.

By the end of the week—with Sanders having coached them through job analysis, experimentation, process changes, and adjustments—the teams had improved productivity by more than 50%. “One day, they installed 21 panels, which far exceeded anything they had done in the past. And they weren’t breaking their backs; they were just working without the problems they’d had to deal with before,” says Grimes.

Sanders notes that the results Turner achieved on its first project represent the quick wins construction companies experience when viewing the work with a lean mindset—and offer a glimpse of the enormous potential benefits of coordinating lean thinking and practices across the industry. For example, he explains, even if a trade partner finishes their work early, the next one has a scheduled start date.

Continuous Flow

Producing and moving one item at a time (or a small and consistent batch of items) through a series of processing steps as continuously as possible, with each step making just what is requested by the next step.

Continuous flow can be achieved in a number of ways, ranging from moving assembly lines to manual cells. It also is called one-piece flow, single-piece flow, and make one, move one.
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So, they can’t start any earlier because they’re finishing other jobs, which creates a gap in time that companies can’t leverage and interrupts the continuous flow.

**Caution: Productivity Improvements Ahead**

In the United States, the construction industry has been grappling with a shortage of skilled, capable people for years. Unlike manufacturing and IT work, construction cannot be outsourced to other countries with lower labor costs and fewer regulatory requirements.

On top of this, productivity in the construction industry has not improved much in recent decades. It has, by some measures, gotten worse. Getting in the way of progress is the widely held belief that there’s little to be gained by standardizing or improving work processes in construction because every project is different, with different timelines, plans, and expectations.

Another barrier to improving productivity is the number of different organizations that are involved in every construction project. Consider one building project:

There are the future occupant, the building owner, and whoever owns the real estate. There are architectural and engineering firms. Then there is Turner, as the general contractor, which is responsible for overall project management. Finally, there are the myriad trade partners (subcontractors) who actually construct the building and go their separate ways after their part of a project is complete.

Working with trade partners is where LEI’s partnership with Turner has had the most impact. At this level—where tangible value is created—the work has some degree of repetition and repeatability, says Sanders. But the window for improvement is tight and bound to the project schedule. So you have to get in, see the work requirements, and quickly capitalize on opportunities.

“In construction, although the work is repeatable, you only get one shot. Take this hospital in Dallas: We looked at where the painters were working on six floors. Once a floor is finished, it’s finished. We can’t go back and study it again,” he explains. “That’s very different from an auto plant where Toyota is building 1,000 cars per day. There’s a much longer runway for improvement in manufacturing.”

**Opportunities Hidden Inside Every Challenge**

There is an ingrained belief in construction that the work is physically hard and tiring—and that that’s just the nature of the job. It’s even a point of pride. Everyone in the industry has lived with the strenuous physical demands for so long they don’t even see it as an issue. The work hasn’t necessarily been designed to be hard. But neither has anyone designed it to be less strenuous.

“Redesigning the work eliminated significant physical strain and reduced the installation cycle time for eight cables from 239 minutes to 24 minutes.”

Bryant Sanders
In any industry or business, when poor job design makes work harder than it needs to be, it increases costs and leads to schedule overruns and rework. From a lean perspective, improving the work—removing the difficulties that cause sore hands, sore backs, and sore legs—demonstrates a respect for people, especially for trade partners and the work they do. Showing this respect is a key goal at Turner, where Actively Caring for each worker on the project sites is one of the five elements of the company’s path to success.

It comes as no surprise that making the work less difficult physically can impact productivity. In one example that LEI previously shared, electricians struggled to install conductors into an electrical panel. Redesigning how the work was done eliminated the physical strain and reduced the installation time from 110 minutes to four minutes.

At the Dallas job site, the team similarly redesigned the installation of cables. In this case, redesigning the work eliminated significant physical strain and reduced the installation cycle time for eight cables from 239 minutes to 24 minutes. “We captured those guys’ hearts when we made that job so much less difficult,” Sanders recalls.

The Three Cs: Learning to See the Pain

To help develop this critical eye, he divides the work into three parts: content, characteristics, and categories as shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Value add</td>
<td>• Easy or difficult (physically hard)</td>
<td>• Cyclical (happens at a regular cadence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Nonvalue-add (waste)</td>
<td>• Overburdened</td>
<td>• Periodic (doesn’t happen every cycle, but happens with some frequency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Incidental/auxiliary (necessary but doesn’t add value)</td>
<td>• Uneven</td>
<td>• Abnormal (to be eliminated)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 3C Framework

Consider drywall work as an example. After the drywall panels are fitted and installed, the joints are taped, the drywall compound is applied and allowed to dry, then the walls are sanded flat. Applying the joint compound is cyclical work; retrieving additional compound when the worker runs out is periodic. Efficiency gains come from keeping the work as cyclical as possible.

Here’s another example. An electrician’s job on a particular day is to terminate wires inside an electrical cabinet. At the start of the day, they will know their assignment but not which cabinets to work on or how many terminations must be finished that day. With a detailed daily work plan, an electrician can gather all of the supplies needed to do the job without having to stop at any point to retrieve additional materials, thereby minimizing periodic work.

The final work category is abnormal work—anything that happens that shouldn’t happen. After abnormal work is identified, standards and countermeasures can be established to eliminate it.

Sanders offers an example that he saw on a Turner job site in Denver. “They were shaking out the deck, attaching the tin bottom to the cross members before pouring concrete to make the floors,” he remembers. “They started the job but soon realized that they didn’t have the tool they needed to rivet the panels in place. So one guy had to go find it while the other two sat around being paid but unable to do any work.”
Falling under the banner of gemba-based improvement (GBI), LEI’s support is designed to help more people at Turner Construction see the work in terms of the three Cs. The emphasis is to reduce physical burdens, make the work more repetitive and cyclical, reduce the amount of periodic work, and eliminate any abnormalities. In the decking example above, they built a job cart with a silhouette of every tool. Now, when the team moves to the next floor, they can easily see if they have everything they need and are less likely to leave anything behind.

This approach is different from a single-minded drive to eliminate waste. Identifying physically demanding work requires a focus on studying the work in greater detail—at the task and individual worker level. Sanders explains: Many novice change leaders watch people work and look for ways to improve the process. If anyone is standing around, they recognize the wasted resources and give them another assignment. Equipped with a menu of lean tools, they try to implement any of them that seemed useful.

After giving a team a list of action items to improve, they get frustrated when they implemented few or none of the recommendations.

The other weakness of giving people a list of improvement to-do ideas is that they must stop doing what they’re doing to implement them. Everyone in construction—and most jobs for that matter—is recognized, rewarded, and promoted based on output, how much they produce, and how quickly. Any suggestions or additional work that undermines immediate output is logically ignored.

That’s why, in addition to focusing on real pain points, after generating a list of improvement ideas, the GBI

---

**The Categories of Work Motion**

Human actions (motions) involved in producing products can be divided into three categories based on their value to the process.

- **Value-Creating Work**
  - Examples: Weld flange onto part, Bolt part to product
- **Motion**
  - Examples: Pull down tool, Unclamp and clamp fixture
- **Incidental Work**
  - Examples: Pull down tool, Unclamp and clamp fixture
- **Waste**
  - Examples: Walking to get parts, Waiting time

---

**Gemba**

The Japanese term for “actual place,” often used for the shop floor or any place where value-creating work actually occurs; also spelled genba.

The term often is used to stress that real improvement requires a shop-floor focus based on direct observation of current conditions where work is done. For example, standardized work for a machine operator cannot be written at a desk in the engineering office, but must be defined and revised on the gemba.

**Kaizen**

Continuous improvement of an entire value stream or an individual process to create more value with less waste.

There are two levels of kaizen (Rother and Shook 1999, p. 8):

- System or flow kaizen focusing on the overall value stream. This is kaizen for management.
- Process kaizen focusing on individual processes. This is kaizen for work teams and team leaders.

Value-stream mapping is an excellent tool for identifying an entire value stream and determining where flow and process kaizen are appropriate.

---
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approach then commandeers the necessary resources to implement them immediately. Speed is especially critical in construction, where the window of opportunity to make improvements and capture the benefits is tight.

Building Momentum in the Construction Industry

To improve uptake and overcome objections on construction job sites, LEI and Turner are coordinating conversations between trade partners. By having trade partners in San Antonio who have directly experienced the benefits of the GBI process talk to their peers in Denver or Dallas, it’s easier to overcome potential misgivings and objections.

It doesn’t hurt that the financial gains mostly benefit the trade partners. After all, they’ve bid the work and won the contract based on certain labor expectations. So, any changes that make the work easier or reduce the labor hours go straight to their bottom line.

“At Turner, we’re playing the long game. By building deeper relationships with our trade partners, we will reap the benefits for the long term, allowing us to deliver greater value to our clients.”

Bob Grimes

A more daunting long-term challenge is how to work the lean approach and mindset into contracts from the beginning, to work it into the requests for proposals. To do this, people at the general contractor level have to understand the work requirements for each project from a continuous flow perspective. Then, they can build potential efficiencies into the contracts (avoiding situations like the exterior panel installation described above).

“You have to take these learnings and discoveries back to the front-end of the process,” says Sanders. “Turner is embracing it—call it kaizen leadership or lean leadership—this is how the industry as a whole can begin to change.”

Management’s understanding must deepen so they can set the appropriate expectations. They have to push a vision that eliminates unnecessary hard work, for example. Engineering organizes the work the way it does for logical and historical reasons. Operations leaders have to expand the factors that engineering considers during the design phase, eliminating up front the difficult termination of conductors in the electrical panel, for example. More people in construction need to see the physical strain and future aches and pains as literally pain points that can and should be alleviated.

Another challenge, Sanders adds, is the need to move quicker. Turner has over 10,000 employees. To expand the number of people exposed to the GBI methodology, Turner is working with its internal, self-perform group that executes some of the work on various projects like concrete or carpentry. That way, the company can directly realize some of the financial benefits, which always catches people’s attention.

Turner is dedicated to moving forward, understanding that progress is built to experimenting and capturing the learning. While the existing Turner staff is working to learn and implement these concepts, Turner has its eyes on the future, looking forward to engaging and helping people who are just coming into the industry to learn how to see the work differently.
LEI’s engagement with Turner Construction Company began in 2017 when Jim Barrett, Turner’s vice president and chief innovation officer, met with LEI Coach Mark Reich and John Shook, an LEI senior advisor, in Boston. Barrett believed that lean had been too narrowly applied in the construction industry, and there was significant untapped potential. He said he wanted Turner to be a leader in expanding its impact.

In response to that leadership pull, Reich led a pilot A3 problem-solving project among the company’s senior leadership team in its New York office. New York is the company’s largest operation in terms of annual sales. Rather than start on the job site, where LEI typically gets down to work, Reich’s intent was to leverage leader engagement and change their mindset. Changing their perspective would help them appreciate the potential and eventually cascade the new thinking and practices down to the building sites.

The pilot project did, indeed, open people’s eyes. The participants thought they spent a lot of time on job sites and understood how best to solve problems. The exercise demonstrated how they were mostly just firefighting and not really seeing the work. New York began to share their renewed understandings with the other regional leaders.

Turner has an established lean/continuous improvement program with dedicated resources. Senior Vice President Matt Papenfus is responsible for the company’s central region, with offices in Dallas, Houston, San Antonio, Austin, Denver, and Kansas City. He is also the senior leader driving the company-wide adoption and implementation of lean practices. Bob Grimes, vice president and director of lean, reports to Papenfus and coordinates the activity of regional lean leaders. They, in turn, support local lean managers in each office (40-plus across the United States and Canada), who are all well-versed in the Last Planner System™, a production control system developed by the Lean Construction Institute.

Following the pilot project with LEI, Reich met with Turner’s CEO and executive leadership team every quarter in 2019. They spent an entire day learning about lean’s untapped potential in construction in discussions, touring Herman Miller and Turner job sites. In addition to expanding the executives’ thinking, the engagement clarified the business need for embracing lean methodologies more deeply.

At that time, Turner and the entire construction industry were dealing with a labor shortage. Reviewing video recordings of work on the job site during the quarterly lean exercises, company executives began to understand how much productivity could be improved.

The targeted gemba-based improvement (GBI) projects described in this article began in 2020, mostly on job sites in Texas and Colorado. They continued throughout much of the year despite the pandemic. Each team participates in three projects with increasing responsibility each cycle for identifying and implementing changes.

In addition to implementing work process improvements, Turner aims to achieve four learning objectives through the GBI projects:

- Develop a “critical eye” and other lean capabilities among trade partners and Turner’s staff.
- Deepen senior leaders’ appreciation for practical problem-solving.
- Help executives understand the business case and potential financial gains.
- Build capabilities for making the work safer, easier, and more efficient.

This strategy that Turner is pursuing with LEI’s support is a significant commitment. Time will tell how much of an impact these efforts have within Turner and the construction industry as a whole.
Faculty Highlight

Bryant Sanders
Senior Coach and Chief Engineer, Operations
Lean Enterprise Institute

Bryant, a recent retiree from Toyota Motor North America (TMNA), has 25 years of experience in accessory development, supplier development, quality, logistics, production operations, and Toyota Production System (TPS) deployment. While at TMNA and working with the Toyota Production System Support Center and Operations Management Development Division, he learned firsthand the importance of focusing on people as the center of TPS’s management philosophy and technical practices.

As a coach and manufacturing leader, Bryant focuses on creating and managing resource strategies to launch new operations and products while achieving operational excellence. As LEI’s chief engineer, operations, he leads the development of learning experiences that enhance operations leaders’ lean knowledge and capabilities while advancing the lean thinking and practice body of knowledge. Through this work and coaching, he helps them better understand the work processes they oversee and adopt the mindset and behaviors that help them more effectively — and respectfully — lead work teams to practice continuous improvement that helps achieve organizational objectives. Bryant has experience in teaching and helping organizations apply TPS principles in various industries, including but not limited to manufacturing, healthcare, and construction, achieving meaningful results to ensure customer satisfaction, cost reductions, and human capability development.

In addition to being a lean coach at LEI, he is an adjunct instructor of Supply Chain Management and Six Sigma Quality Control at Cincinnati State Technical College.
LEI’s Co-Learning Partner Program is for leaders looking to transform their enterprise and contribute to the lean thinking and practice body of knowledge. You and your team will closely partner with LEI Coaches in a journey of discovery that will take your organization to the next level.

Become a Co-Learning Partner, LEI’s Most Extensive Custom Learning Experiences

Partner with the Lean Enterprise Institute (LEI) to accelerate your lean journey and jointly conduct experiments on the best way to advance your lean transformation. As one of a select group of companies, you’ll work closely with LEI thought leaders, such as John Shook, Jim Morgan, and other top-flight LEI Coaches and subject-matter experts.

Within the partnership, LEI Coaches will guide you as you design and evaluate the experiments that will help you discover the best lean approach to address a business problem or achieve breakthrough performance. We don’t come in with a cookie-cutter solution. Instead, LEI Coaches bring their decades of lean thinking, practice, and coaching to bear on the business issues you need to address and guide you through discovering—for your organization and in the specific situation—how to resolve it.

By offering targeted, immersive experiences that demonstrate the value of addressing all five dimensions of the Lean Transformation Framework, LEI Coaches ensure you and your team gain an in-depth understanding through crucial guided practice.

Join a Learning Group

LEI’s most advanced partners—those who have reached the highest levels of lean thinking and practice—are invited to participate in an LEI facilitated learning group. Open only to those who have and are willing to share advanced lean thinking and practices, this learning opportunity allows organizations and their teams to learn from one another. While participants in the learning groups collectively direct the learning, LEI Coaches facilitate the meetings three to four times per year and share related learning materials.

The meetings are held on-site at a learning group company or in virtual gatherings. The learning groups are organized around a specific lean thinking and practice discipline, industry, business function, and the like.

The longest-running Learning Group is focused on Lean Product and Process Development (LPPD), bringing together partner companies interested in transforming their product, process, and service development systems. Much of this Learning Group’s learning was captured in Jim Morgan’s and Jeff Liker’s Designing the Future, which LEI co-published with McGraw Hill in 2019. Who knows, maybe your lean transformation story will become part of an upcoming book published by LEI.

Companies we’ve partnered with

![Microsoft](image1.png) ![Herman Miller](image2.png) ![Pella](image3.png) ![TechnipFMC](image4.png)
Continue Your Learning

The Lean Enterprise Institute (LEI) offers a wide range of learning resources, all with the practical knowledge you need to sustain a lean transformation:

Learning Materials

Our plain-language books, workbooks, leadership guides, and training materials reflect the essence of lean thinking—doing. They draw on years of research and real-world experiences from lean transformations in manufacturing and service organizations to provide tools that you can put to work immediately.

Education

Faculty members with extensive implementation experience teach you actual applications with the case studies, worksheets, formulas, and methodologies you need for implementation. Select from courses that address technical topics, culture change, coaching, senior management’s roles, and much more.

Events

Every March the Lean Summit explores the latest lean concepts and case studies, presented by executives and implementers. Other events focus on an issue or industry, such as starting a lean transformation or implementing lean in healthcare. Check lean.org for details and to get first notice of these limited-attendance events.

About The Lean Enterprise Institute

The Lean Enterprise Institute, Inc., was founded in 1997 by management expert James P. Womack, PhD, as a nonprofit research, education, publishing, and conferencing company. As part of its mission to advance lean thinking around the world, LEI supports the Lean Global Network (leanglobal.org), the Lean Education Academic Network (teachinglean.org), and the Healthcare Value Network (healthcarevalueleaders.org).

lean.org

A quick and secure sign-up delivers these online learning resources:

- Thought-leading content delivered monthly to your inbox.
- First notice about LEI events, webinars, and new learning materials.